

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,158	10/31/2003	Jeffrey D. Carnevali	NPI-019	9849
75	90 09/22/2005		EXAM	INER
Charles J. Rup PO Box 46752	onick		STERLING	, AMY JO
Seattle, WA 98146			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3632	
		DATE MAILED: 09/22/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/698,158	CARNEVALI, JEFFREY D.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Amy J. Sterling	3632	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 36(a). In no event, however, may a repwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTIC, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ju	<u>uly 2005</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matte	s, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyanction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Ap rity documents have been r u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office A	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date brmal Patent Application (PTO-152) Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050913	

Art Unit: 3632

DETAILED ACTION

This is a non-final Office Action for application number 10/698,158 Flexible.

Support Arm filed on 10/31/03. Claims 1-21 are pending. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/25/05 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-4, 8, 9 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by United States Patent No. 6648376 to Christianson.

The patent to Christianson discloses a flexible support having a metal and plastic support base (14, lower end of 20) with a tubular aperture opening in one surface, a metal and plastic mounting bracket (12, upper end of 20) having an tubular aperture opening in one surface and a permanently bendable continuous solid metal rod (the rod portions are solid) (16, See Col. 2, line 4 for material selection) having a substantially constant cross-section between the first and second ends and having a first end installed in the opening of the support base (14) and fused directly by ultrasonic welding (See Col. 6, lines 55-56) or metal-to-metal fusible, to the support base and having a

Art Unit: 3632

second end installed in the opening of the mounting bracket and fused directly by ultrasonic welding to the mounting bracket (12), and a flexible plastic sheath (10). Christianson teaches that the support base and mounting bracket openings further comprise a second larger counter-bored opening into which an end of the sheath is inserted.

Christianson also discloses the method of forming a support base (14) having a tubular aperture therein and forming a mounting bracket (12) with a tubular aperture therein and fusing the ends of a permanently bendable solid metal rod (16) to both of the apertures.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 5, 10 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 6648376 to Christianson as applied to claims 1, 2 and 4 above and further in view of United States Patent No. 4020575 to Kruger et al.

Christianson teaches the basic inventive concept including that the mounting bracket (12, 20) and the support base (14, 20) include plastic.

Christianson does not specifically teach that the plastic are ultrasonically weldable plastic. Christianson also does not teach the method of ultrasonically wedable plastic.

Kruger et al. teaches a device with ultrasonically weldable plastic and the method of using ultrasonically weldable plastic used for securely bonding two elements together. (See Col. 1, line 37 and Col. 2, line 12).

Art Unit: 3632

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made from the teachings of Kruger et al. to have made the mounting bracket and support base of weldable plastic and to use the plastic for a secure bond between the elements.

Claims 6, 13, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 6648376 to Christianson and in view of United States Patent No. 4020575 to Kruger et al. as applied to claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 9 and 16 above and further in view of United States Patent No. 5842670 to Nigoghosian.

Christianson and Kruger et al. teache the basic inventive concept, including the method of installing a flexible sheath (10) around a solid metal rod (16).

Christianson and Kruger et al. do not teach that the rod is made from aluminum, copper or coated copper and the support base and mounting bracket are made of aluminum or the method of forming a support base and mounting bracket of weldable aluminum material.

Nigoghosian discloses applicant's basic inventive concept, all the elements which are shown above and including a solid flexible rod (14) or the rest of the device which is made from a weldable material such as copper or aluminum (See Col, 3, lines 38-39 for material selection), used because the properties of such a metal makes them weldable. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made from the teachings of Nigoghosian to have made the

Art Unit: 3632

device of any suitable material or method of forming them from any suitable material, in order to easily attach the components to each other.

Claims 7, 19 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 6648376 to Christianson and in view of United States Patent No. 4020575 to Kruger et al. and in view of United States Patent No. 5842670 to Nigoghosian as applied to claims 1, 2, 4-6, 16 and 17 above and further in view of United Sates Patent No. 6637642 to Lingnau.

Christianson, Kruger et al. and Nigoghosian show the basic inventive concept with the exception that they do not teach that the metal rod is make of upset metal finish or upset surface material or the method of upsetting the metal around the rod.

Lingnau discloses solid state welding including teaching that the upset finish of the metal can and will affect the welding profile. (See Col. 8, lines 6-24). Lingnau also teaches method of upsetting of the metal in order to change the welding profile.

Therefore it would have been obvious to make the metal tubing with an upset finish on the surface, in order to further change the welding characteristics of the metal rod.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 6648376 to Christianson and in view of United States Patent No. 4020575 to Kruger et al. as applied to claim 10 above and further in view of United Sates Patent No. 6637642 to Lingnau

Art Unit: 3632

Christianson and Kruger et al. and show the basic inventive concept with the exception that they do not teach that the metal rod is make of upset metal finish or upset surface material.

Lingnau discloses solid state welding including teaching that the upset finish of the metal can and will affect the welding profile. (See Col. 8, lines 6-24). Therefore it would have been obvious to make the metal tubing with an upset finish on the surface, in order to further change the welding characteristics of the metal rod.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 6648376 to Christianson and in view of United States Patent No. 4020575 to Kruger et al. and in view of United Sates Patent No. 6637642 to Lingnau as applied to claims 10 and 11 above and in further view of United States Patent No. 5842670 to Nigoghosian.

Christianson, Kruger et al. and Lingnau do not teach that the rod made of aluminum, copper or coated copper.

Nigoghosian discloses applicant's basic inventive concept, all the elements which are shown above and including a solid flexible rod (14) which is made from a weldable material such as copper or aluminum (See Col, 3, lines 38-39 for material selection), used because the properties of such a metal makes them weldable.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made from the teachings of Nigoghosian to have made the rod of any suitable weldable material, in order to easily attach the components to each other.

Response to Arguments

The applicant has argued that the Christianson reference fails to show that the metal rod is both a continuous solid metal rod and is bendable (See Remarks page 7, lines 16-17). This is unpersuasive in that claim 1 is being argued narrower than claimed. The term "solid" has been deleted from the claim. The metal rod is both "continuously metal" and "bendable" at each joint as shown bent in Fig. 3.

The applicant has also argued that the Christianson reference does not show a metal rod of a substantially constant cross section. This is unpersuasive as well in that each piece (16) has a substantially similar cross section as the next piece.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Amy J. Sterling at telephone number 571-272-6823. The examiner can normally be reached (M-F 8 a.m.-5:00 p.m.). If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached at

571-272-6788. The fax machine number for the Technology center is 571-273-8300 (formal amendments) or 571-273-6823 (informal amendments). Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist at 571-272-3600.

Amy J. Sterling